Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/705,597	ANANDAKUMAR, ANAND
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Mujtaba K. Chaudry</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>George O. Saile</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 June 2006	Time: 4pm est.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: n/a		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: An attempt was made for restriction. No connection was made.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
A A A A LOS		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	anature – if annropriate)
(Applicant	whhicant a Mehicaentative at	griature – ir appropriate)